



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

MF

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/500,919	02/09/00	GRZYL	L 132/42381C2

CROWELL & MORING LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
P.O. BOX 14300
WASHINGTON DC 20044-4300

IM52/1010

EXAMINER

ANTHONY, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1714

16

DATE MAILED: 10/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**Notification of Non-Compliance with
37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.

09/500,919

Applicant(s)

Grzyl et al.

Examiner

Joseph Anthony

Art Unit

1714



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The Appeal Brief filed on Jul 31, 2001 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

APPENDANT'S BRIEF FAILS TO CLEARLY SET FORTH WHY CLAIMS 27-29 AND 31-32 (GROUP II) AND CLAIM 30 (GROUP II) ARE CONSIDERED BY APPELLANT TO NOT STAND OR FALL TOGETHER.

APPENDANT'S DESIRE TO INCORPORATE THE ARGUMENTS OF THE APPEAL BRIEF FILED IN U.S. S.N. 08/895,687 INTO THE PRESENT APPEAL BRIEF IS DETERMINED TO BE DEFECTIVE. THIS INCORPORATION FAILS TO ADDRESS ALL GROUNDS OF REJECTION OVER APPENDANT'S PRESENT CLAIMS. FURTHERMORE, APPENDANT'S ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN SECTION VI OF THE PRESENT APPEAL BRIEF FAIL TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).

Joseph D. Anthony
JOSEPH D. ANTHONY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1714
703-308-0446

10/8/01